Pectinases yeast production using grape skin as carbon source
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ABSTRACT

Pectinases are used in Enology for some different utilities. Enzymatic preparations from moulds are a mixed of different enzymes with strong and unspecific activities. Some Saccharomyces cerevisiae produce pectinases which can be used instead of commercial preparations. The objectives of this work were to study the enzyme secretion by one Saccharomyces cerevisiae (CECT 11783) for growing on grape skin (industry oenological by-product) as carbon source. Preliminary experiments showed that the strain produced pectinases for growing on grape skin without any other carbon source. Statistical treatment (factorial design 2⁵) was applied to evaluate the influences of related factors (agitation, temperature, presence of peptone and detergent in the medium and time of growth) Variables with the most significant interactions for pectinase production were agitation and nitrogen source concentration. Response surface methodology showed that a first order model was not adequate for results. Nevertheless, the built of a second order model offered a polynomial equation which surface predicted a maximum of activity (52.68 enzymatic units) for specific values of the studied variables (147.8 rpm of agitation and 15.9 g of peptone/L culture medium).
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1. INTRODUCTION

Pectinolytic enzymes are found mainly in moulds and bacteria, but they also occur in some yeasts [1-3]. Given the role played by yeasts, especially of the genus Saccharomyces, in fermented products, further research into their pectinolytic enzymes would be useful for two purposes: one, so that yeast can be used to synthesize then purify the enzymes for addition to fruit juices as clarification and extraction enhancers; and two, in the case of fermented products, so that the enzyme can be produced by the yeast as part of the process rather than having to be added to the medium.

Most commercial pectinase preparations used in the food industry are derived from Aspergillus niger, a GRAS microorganism producing large quantities of these enzymes. However, this mould secretes other enzymes which may trigger collateral reactions, such as the release of volatile phenols less desirable for the production of wine or fruit juices, for instance arabinofuranosidase, which can cause turbidity [4].

Pectinases are used in winemaking to enhance must extraction by degrading structural polysaccharides which interfere with the extraction process [5], thus increasing the release of colour and aroma compounds in musts both before and during fermentation. At the same time, the addition of pectinases improves maceration, clarification and filtration during the winemaking process [6-8].

Pectinolytic enzymes derived from Saccharomyces cerevisiae would provide a useful alternative to mould-derived pectinases, since a genuine product can only be obtained from yeasts.

Certain strains of S. cerevisiae have been found to break down polygalacturonic acid, which could be important for the fermentation of plant-derived substrates [1,9].

It has been demonstrated that when the enzyme extract from Saccharomyces bayanus is added to fresh must, the effects on turbidity are the same as when a commercial enzyme preparation is added [10].

A study reported that when PG+ strains of S. cerevisiae were used in winemaking, in some cases the filtration time was reduced by half without any appreciable changes in viscosity [11]. Moreover, a transformed strain with good winemaking qualities has more recently been engineered using the PGU1 gene from another strain, transcriptionally bonded to the PGK1 gene promoter, in order to enhance its expression during growth [12].
In some countries, current legislation prohibits the use of genetically-modified organisms, though not of GMO-derived enzymes, in winemaking. The first step towards achieving this goal is to develop appropriate enzyme-production technology.

Spanish grape-skin production, as a by-product of the winemaking process, is estimated at around 750,000 tonnes per year. At present, it is used mostly as animal feed. Polygalacturonase activity in grape musts has been shown to increase markedly one day after the addition of yeast, whereas no enzyme activity was detected throughout fermentation in must made from juice alone [13].

The incorporation of grape skin in the formulation of culture media for use in industrial enzyme production would bring both economic and environmental benefits for winemaking areas, by enabling commercial exploitation of this by-product. The composition of grape skin may well enhance yeast growth as well as inducing pectinase synthesis.

The aim of this research was to optimize the culture medium using grape skin as substrate for the growth and synthesis of pectinases derived from a genetically-modified yeast strain.

Statistical optimization was preferred because it enabled evaluation of interactions between parameters and involved a specific experimental design [14,15].

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

A genetically-modified Saccharomyces cerevisiae strain (CECT 11783) (12) was used, containing the gene PGU1 from a spontaneous winemaking yeast, which conferred the ability to hydrolyze polygalacturonic acid and therefore pectins.

For all assays, cells were precultured in YPD broth to enable inoculation of a final population of $10^7$ cells/mL onto each tested growth medium.

2.1. Enzyme Method for Determining Pectinolytic Activity

Pectinolytic activity was evaluated by quantifying the amount of galacturonic acid released from apple pectin (Fluka) using the DNSA (dinitrosalicylic acid) reaction. The method was optimized by adjusting reagent concentrations and incubation times. A commercial pectinase was used as positive control, and a commercial Saccharomyces strain (UCLM S325) not possessing pectinolytic activity served as negative control.

Results were plotted on a galacturonic-acid calibration curve covering the appropriate range of concentrations.

2.2. Preliminary Tests. Relationship between Yeast Growth and Pectinase Production

2.2.1. First Experiment

A number of prior experiments were performed to confirm the ability of yeast to grow and to synthesize polygalacturonase in the presence of grape skin. The yeast was grown on the following media:

- 7 g/L grape skin
- 7 g/L grape skin + 5 g/L glucose
- 7 g/L grape skin + 10 g/L peptone
- 7 g/L grape skin + 5 g/L glucose + 10 g/L peptone
- A set of 100-mL flasks containing 20 mL of each medium were inoculated and incubated at 28°C in a thermostatically-controlled water bath shaker (150 rpm). Polygalacturonase activity was measured at 24, 46 and 96 hours of yeast growth.

All assays were performed in triplicate, and results were expressed in enzyme units (i.e. the amount of enzyme required to liberate 10 μg of galacturonic acid from apple pectin in the conditions outlined above).

2.2.2. Second Experiment

In view of the results obtained, a second experiment was performed to ascertain whether grape skin and/or glucose concentrations significantly influenced enzyme synthesis. For this purpose, peptone concentration and growth time (determinant variables) were fixed at 10 g/L and 24 h, respectively. Yeast was grown in a refrigerated orbital shaker which was used until the end of the work. The new media formulations were as follows:

- 0 g/L grape skin + 5 g/L glucose + 10 g/L peptone
- 7 g/L grape skin + 5 g/L glucose + 10 g/L peptone
- 21 g/L grape skin + 5 g/L glucose + 10 g/L peptone
- 7 g/L grape skin + 10 g/L peptone
- 21 g/L grape skin + 10 g/L peptone

2.3. Optimization of the Culture Medium for Pectinase Production. Statistical Analysis

In the light of the preliminary study results, the grape skin concentration was set at 21 g/L and glucose was omitted from the culture broth; a study was therefore made of other variables potentially influencing enzyme synthesis.

A two-stage statistical analysis was performed: the first stage identified significant factors, while in the second stage response surface methodology (RSM) was used to maximize enzyme activity.

2.3.1. First Stage

The conditioning variables studied were: agitation (shaking speed), temperature, presence of detergent (Tween 80), cell harvest time and presence of a nitrogen source (peptone); these variables were selected in view of their marked influence on enzyme synthesis by yeasts (16).

Each variable was studied at two levels, so that the combination of five variables (factorial design $2^5$ with two replications) gave a total of 64 runs.

The experimental design used is shown in Table 1.
Table 1. Experimental design for identification of significant factors in enzyme production. (Agitation, temperature, presence of detergent (Tween 80), cell harvest time and presence of a nitrogen source (peptone)).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experiment A</th>
<th>Experiment B</th>
<th>Experiment C</th>
<th>Experiment D</th>
<th>Tween 80</th>
<th>Time (h)</th>
<th>Peptone</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A1</td>
<td>B1</td>
<td>C1</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2</td>
<td>B2</td>
<td>C2</td>
<td>D2</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A3</td>
<td>B5</td>
<td>C5</td>
<td>D5</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A4</td>
<td>B6</td>
<td>C6</td>
<td>D6</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A5</td>
<td>B3</td>
<td>C3</td>
<td>D3</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A6</td>
<td>B4</td>
<td>C4</td>
<td>D4</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A7</td>
<td>B8</td>
<td>C8</td>
<td>D8</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A8</td>
<td>B7</td>
<td>C7</td>
<td>D7</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Experiment A: Agitation 50 rpm, temperature 18°C
- Experiment B: Agitation 150 rpm, temperature 18°C
- Experiment C: Agitation 50 rpm, temperature 28°C
- Experiment D: Agitation 150 rpm, temperature 28°C

The four possible combinations of shaking speed (50 and 150 rpm) and temperature (18 and 28°C) were fixed; 8 experiments were performed in duplicate for each combination to quantify the amount of enzyme produced, expressed as enzyme units.

The replicated 2^5 factorial model was constructed using the SPSS statistical software package. The univariate GLM procedure was used to examine the magnitude and direction of factor effects.

The design model was based on the equation:

\[ y_{ijklm} = \mu + \alpha_i + \beta_j + \gamma_k + \delta_l + \xi_m + (\alpha\beta)_{ij} + (\alpha\gamma)_{ik} + (\alpha\delta)_{il} + (\alpha\xi)_{im} + (\beta\gamma)_{jk} + \ldots \]

where \( i, j, k, l, m \) took the values 1 and 2, and \( h \) varied between 1 and the number of replicates (in this case, 2).

In the first instance, a full factorial model was constructed using the five main factors, ten second-order interactions and ten third-order interactions. Factor effects and significant interactions were then estimated by the UNIANOVA procedure using pairwise comparisons and profile plots.

2.3.2. Second Stage

The results from the first stage indicated that neither detergent (Tween 80) nor cell harvest time were significant variables, and that the optimum temperature was 28°C. For technical and economic reasons, therefore, the following variables were fixed: temperature (28°C), grape skin concentration (21 g/L) and cell harvest time (24 h); thus only shaking speed and peptone concentration were studied at the second stage.

First, a linear approach to optimal conditions was carried out using first-order strategies and a 2^2 factorial design with three replications of the centre-point (values of the central conditions of each assay): agitation = 150 rpm, peptone concentration = 10 g/L. Model suitability was assessed by analysing fit and curvature, and estimating experimental error. Shaking speed was set at 150 rpm, and peptone concentrations were tested at 2 g/L intervals up to 20 g/L, giving a total of 7 assays.

Since results were not determinant (data not shown), the test was repeated with a new centre-point (shaking speed = 150 rpm, peptone = 14 g/L), which involved performing a further 7 experiments.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Enzyme Method for Determining Pectinolytic Activity

The enzyme reaction providing the best results was a mixture of 500 µL of supernatant (enzyme) with 500 µL of 0.25% apple pectin, incubated for 30 minutes at 37°C. Once the reaction was complete, 500 µL of the mixture was reacted with 500 µL of DNSA, and incubated for 10 minutes at 100°C.

The cooled reaction mixture was diluted with 1.2 mL of water, and data were plotted on a galacturonic acid calibration curve ranging from 0.1 to 1 mg/mL.

3.2. Preliminary Tests. Relationship between Yeast Growth and Pectinase Production

3.2.1. First Experiment

Extracellular pectinase activity at various harvest times using different growth media is shown in Figure 1. Activity was influenced by medium composition and the presence of a nitrogen source (peptone) stimulating pectinase synthesis (growth media C and D).

One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA, 95% CI) revealed that enzyme production differed significantly in all tested media at 46 h. Maximum enzyme production was observed with the grape skin + glucose + peptone
0 20 40 60 80
Time (h)

Enzyme units

Figure 1. Evolution of pectinolytic activity in some culture media at different harvest time. A. 7 g/L skin; B. 7 g/L skin + 5 g/L glucose; C. 7 g/L skin + 10 g/L peptone; D. 7 g/L skin + 5 g/L glucose + 10 g/L peptone.

combination (medium D) at 46 hours; the maximum value of 78.25 ± 0.2 enzyme units was significantly higher than that obtained with all the other combinations. This highlights the importance of peptone for yeast growth and thus for enzyme synthesis; indeed, the second-highest value (60.3 ± 1.4) was obtained with peptone-containing medium C.

The influence of cell harvest time on enzyme production in each medium varied as a function of medium composition: variations in harvest time had no significant effect on production in the medium containing only grape skin, but prompted significant differences in the other three media. For the grape skin + glucose + peptone combination (medium D), enzyme production peaked at 46 h. When glucose was removed (medium C), production peaked earlier (24 h); however, the difference between the two harvest times, though significant, was not marked. Finally, in the medium containing glucose but not peptone (medium B), enzyme synthesis was significantly inhibited in the early stages; although some activity was detected later, values never approached those obtained using a nitrogen source.

3.2.2. Second Experiment

Yeast was grown in media I, II, III, IV and V (detailed under Material and Methods) to determine the influence of glucose and/or grape skin on pectinolytic activity. No significant differences (95% CI) in enzyme production were noted, values of around 40 enzyme units being recorded in all cases (Table 2). The positive effect of glucose in medium II was matched by the increased grape-skin concentration in medium V.

In the absence of statistically-significant differences, the decision to use a peptone-containing medium in which the carbon source (glucose) was replaced by an increased grape skin concentration (21 g/L) was prompted by the fact that this medium proved cheaper and also made profitable use of a winemaking by-product.

3.3. Optimization of the Culture Medium for Pectinase Production. Statistical Analysis

3.3.1. First Stage

Enzyme activity for the 64 runs detailed under Material and Methods is shown in Table 3. Enzyme production was significantly affected by all tested variables except presence of detergent. In experiment A (agitation 50 rpm, temperature 18ºC), activity was negligible or nonexistent due to minimal yeast growth, attributable to stress conditions.

With these results, statistical analysis was repeated,

| Table 2. Production of pectinolytic enzyme in media with different skin and glucose concentration. |
|---|---|
| Culture medium | Enzyme units |
| I. 0 g/L skin + 5 g/L glucose + 10 g/L peptone | 43.3 ± 3.1 |
| II. 7 g/L skin + 5 g/L glucose + 10 g/L peptone | 48.5 ± 2.2 |
| III. 21 g/L skin + 5 g/L glucose + 10 g/L peptone | 39.8 ± 7.9 |
| IV. 7 g/L skin + 10 g/L peptone | 42.4 ± 4.5 |
| V. 21 g/L skin + 10 g/L peptone | 47.5 ± 1.5 |

| Table 3. Pectinolytic activity obtained by Table 1 design. |
|---|---|---|---|
| Experiment A (50 rpm/18ºC) | Tween 80 | Time (h) | Peptone | Enzyme units |
| 1 | – | 12 | – | 3.2 ± 0.6 |
| 2 | + | 12 | – | 3.6 ± 2.6 |
| 3 | + | 48 | – | |
| 4 | + | 12 | + | |
| 5 | – | 48 | – | |
| 6 | – | 12 | + | |
| 7 | + | 48 | + | Nd* |
| 8 | – | 48 | + | |

Copyright © 2011 SciRes.
containing those main variables and second-order interactions significantly influencing polygalacturonase synthesis. The shaking speed*temperature combination was not significant, and was therefore omitted from the statistical analysis. The time factor, though also non-significant, was retained since it was contained in two significant interactions. This gave rise to a custom model 2:

\[
\text{Activity} = \mu + \alpha + \beta + \gamma + \delta + (\alpha\gamma) + (\alpha\delta) + (\beta\gamma) + (\beta\delta) + \text{error}
\]

where \(\mu\) was the overall mean of runs, \(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \) and \(\delta\) the parameters due to the effects of agitation, temperature, time and presence of peptone, respectively; and \((\alpha\gamma, \alpha\delta, \beta\gamma \) and \(\beta\delta)\) the parameters corresponding to the respective interactions.

Significant effect estimations showed that an agitation of 150 rpm increased enzyme activity by 4.76 units, i.e. an increase of 25.2% compared to 50 rpm. Similarly, enzyme production at 28°C was 5.76 units (33%) higher than at 18°C. Production in peptone-containing media was 11.49 units (65.5%) higher than in peptone-free media.

Significant interaction estimations were obtained using profile plots, and the results were used as the basis for the next experiment. An example of a profile plot is provided in Figure 2. No interaction was recorded between presence of peptone and either agitation (B) or temperature (D); by contrast, both these latter variables interacted with time (A and C, respectively).

To summarize, the highest enzyme activity was found at 28°C, 150 rpm and in media containing peptone as nitrogen source.

### 3.3.2. Second Stage

On the basis of these results, temperature was fixed at 28°C, harvest time at 24 h and grape skin concentration at 21 g/L. The results indicated that the response func-
Figure 2. Profile graphics of some interactions between studied variables on pectinolitic enzymes formation. (a) Agitation and time interaction; (b) Agitation and peptone presence interaction; (c) Temperature and time interaction; (d) Temperature and peptone presence interaction.

The fitted response surface and contour plot for pectinase production are shown in Figure 3(a) and 3(b), respectively. The three-dimensional umbrella-shaped curve represented the main effect of the tested variables (presence of peptone and agitation) and their interaction with maximum pectinase production by *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* strain CECT 11783. As Figure 3 shows, a maximum point was located at roughly 16 g/L of peptone and around 150 rpm of agitation. According to the model, (150 + 30 X₁) and (14 + 3 X₂), predicted maximum
pectinase production using grape skin was 52.68 enzyme units, using an agitation of 147.8 rpm and a grape skin concentration of 15.9 g/L.

4. CONCLUSIONS

The present study offers the possibility of obtaining pectinases from yeast using a byproduct from the same industry: grape skin. The statistical studies guaranteed the maximum enzyme production under specific culture conditions: growth of CECT 11783 using 16 g/L of peptone, 21 g/L of dried grape skin as carbon source and inductor of the pectinases synthesis with an agitation of 150 rpm. These concentrations could be adjusted getting a compromised between quantity of produced enzyme

Figure 3. Response surface (a) and contour plot for second order model in nature variables (agitation and peptone concentration) for pectinases production.
and economic factors.
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