The effects of fall experience by tripping on the movement of stepping over an obstacle
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ABSTRACT

This study examines the effects of fall experience caused by tripping on the movement of stepping over an obstacle. The participants were divided into 3 groups (26 fallers caused by tripping, 24 fallers caused by other causes, and 145 non-fallers). Participants stepped forward over a 10 cm high obstacle with one leg, and then returned to their original position five times as quickly as possible. The OSFS (obstacle single leg forward step) test was measured in the following 2 phases: the OSFS-F phase, in which participants stepped out on one leg, and the OSFS-R phase, in which they returned it. Significant differences among the three groups were found in all parameters, and the fallers by tripping were significantly inferior to the non-fallers. There were no significant differences between the fallers by other reasons and the non-fallers in all parameters. The fallers by tripping are slower in the obstacle step movement than the non-fallers.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Visual According to the reports of Suzuki et al. [1] and Demura et al. [2], which researched the actual conditions of falling in community-dwelling elderly people, a fall incidence rate for one year was about 20% in the elderly aged 65 and over, although there were some regional differences. In addition, because fall incidence increases with age, the possibility that the elderly will get fractures and become bedridden may also increase. A decline in physical fitness seriously limits daily life activities for the elderly, and it enhances the possibility of a fall. To help them avoid falling, it will be important to measure their physical fitness level adequately. Suzuki et al. [3] evaluated the life states and physical functions of community-dwelling elderly people using the Tokyo Metropolitan Institute of Gerontology Index, and reported that the fallers’ fall risk scores were higher than the non-fallers’. Tinetti [4] also reported that the elderly with high activity level have a lower fall incidence. Hence, a decline in daily life activities may be closely related to fall risk.

There are mechanisms causing elderly falls, including trip, slip, misstep and stagger. Fall mechanisms and optimal prevention strategies differ respective to each. According to previous studies [5,6] that reviewed causes, falling is mostly due to a trip during walking. Decreased leg strength and visual function makes foot lifting more difficult, and easily results in tripping; but this condition will also likely improve with training. On the other hand, falls caused by “sliding”, “staggering”, “dizziness”, and “swaying” occur mostly because of environmental factors and medical history. Hence, an individual profile is necessary to effectively prevent falling [7]. Most falls occur when persons with internal factors, such as physical function and decreased performance of physical activities, are affected by external factors like an obstacle. Therefore, it is important to observe each person in detail, to understand what things become risk factors on an individual basis.

Until now, fall experience has been evaluated by whether or not a person has fallen previously, and it has been reported that the elderly with fall experience have a high risk of falling again [3]. In evaluating fall risk, past fall experience is important information, as are physical functional factors such as ADL (activities of daily living) and walking ability or environmental factors. On the other hand, many fall-related physical fitness tests have been developed to predict fall risk. To approach fall prevention from various view points, it is necessary to understand which body functions are evaluated by the re
sults obtained from field tests. For example, the elderly who cannot stand without swaying much also cannot maintain a stable posture, and thus may be predicted to fall down. In addition, the elderly with inferior leg strength are unable to support the body when stepping forward quickly and thus may be predicted to fall down.

Shin and Demura [8-12] focused on the movement of making a new base of support by stepping forward, developed the single leg forward step test (SFS test) which evaluates the elderly’s fall-related physical fitness, and examined its utility.

Individuals avoid a fall by returning to a stable base of support (BOS), which is established by stepping forward quickly when sensing an impending fall. In the single leg forward step test, participants must step forward and return the stepped leg to the original position quickly. Hence, the supporting and stepping legs require considerable leg strength and balance ability, because braking power is needed to stop the body’s forward momentum. It was reported that the test using this movement has a strong relationship to the fall risk score and is useful to evaluate the elderly’s fall-related physical fitness [11]. Shin and Demura [12] reported that persons with fall experience in the past year were significantly inferior to persons without fall experience in the SFS test and the test related to ADL scores. It is assumed that when adding an obstacle in the above-mentioned SFS test, the elderly with fall experience by tripping will find it more difficult to complete the SFS test. If the elderly that trip easily are screened by the SFS test, in the future a more accurate approach may be able to offer fall prevention at an individual level.

This study examined the effects of fall experience caused by tripping on the movement of stepping over an obstacle.

2. METHODS

2.1. Participants

The participants were 195 healthy elderly women who can walk independently. The fallers with fall experiences in the past year numbered 50 subjects, and 26 subjects experienced falls by tripping. The rest of the subjects experienced falls for reasons other than tripping. “Slipping” and “Swaying” each numbered 11 subjects, respectively; “Fainting” and “Pushing by external force” were experienced by one subject. Participants were divided into the non-faller group (G1, 145 subjects), trip-faller group (G2, 26 subjects) and other-faller group (G3, 24 subjects). Prior to the various measurements, the purpose and procedure of this study were explained in detail and informed consent was obtained from all participants. This study was approved by the Ethics Committee on Human Experimentation of Faculty of Education, Kanazawa University.

2.2. Obstacle-Single Leg Forward Step Test (O-SFS Test, Figure 1)

Participants stood barefooted with relaxed arms on a step sheet in a quiet room. They were asked to look at the obstacle. Before the measurement, it was determined which leg was easiest to stand on and operate, by Demura et al.’s assessment [7]. Participants stood with the supporting leg, stepped forward over the obstacle with the other leg, and returned to an original position five times as quickly as possible. The step width from the start spot was 25 cm, and the obstacle (height: 10 cm) was set at the midway point. The measurement was conducted in one trial after one practice trial, and the mean was used for statistical analysis. A tester controlled the obstacle lightly so that it did not move from the set place or fall down if participants tripped over it. The step test was performed using the step sheet (Takei Inc. Japan), which uses foot pressure to measure swing time in one leg from rising to landing. The short swing time means that participants were able to step quickly. Parameters were the time of a forwarding phase (OSFS-F: A mean time of stepping forward) and a returning phase (OSFS-R: A mean time of returning to the original place), and a mean time of both phases.

2.3. Statistical Analysis

To assess mean differences among the fallers by tripping, the fallers by other reasons, and the non-fallers in the OSFS test, the One-way analysis of variance was used. Multiple post hoc comparisons were performed using Tukey’s HSD method if there were significant differences. The probability level of p < 0.05 was indicative of statistical significance. Statistical program for the
3. RESULTS

Table 1 shows the test results of mean differences for age, height and weight among the three groups: fallers by trip, fallers by other reasons and non-fallers. They showed no significant differences among groups.

Table 2 shows the test results of mean differences among groups for OSFS test parameters. There were significant differences in all parameters, and the fallers by tripping were significantly inferior to the non-fallers. There were no significant differences between the fallers by other reasons and the non-fallers in all parameters.

4. DISCUSSION

To evaluate the elderly with lower physical fitness, very safe tests should be selected. In addition, it is preferable that the test content relates closely to their daily life activities and is available for rehabilitation and functional recovery [13]. This study set an obstacle in a general single leg forward step test and examined performance characteristics of the fallers by tripping.

Until now, fall risk has been evaluated based on fall experience in the previous year. If movements or mechanisms with high probability of fall incidence are presented in advance, the elderly may be able to easily understand “the movements during which they should be careful” or “the physical functions that they should improve to avoid falling”. For example, training methods to avoid tripping may be offered for the elderly who were screened as being likely to fall down by tripping. This study investigated fall experience in the past year, including the movements that led to the fall, and found that 26 of 50 fallers fell down by tripping. Although this number was a little fewer than that reported in previous study [14], it was clarified that many falls are attributed to tripping. Falls by tripping have a higher prevention possibility via improvement of daily physical activities or visual function than falls by reasons such as “slipping”,
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Note-Trip: The faller by trip, Other: The fallers for reasons other than tripping.
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Note-Trip: The faller by trip, Other: The fallers for reasons other than tripping. *p < 0.05.
“staggering”, “dizziness”, “swaying” and etc. For five weeks, Weerdesteyn et al. [15] examined different approaches of the trip avoidance process, and reported that the success rate of stepping over an obstacle increased significantly by performing a unique program, including performing multiple tasks at once and other obstacle courses that simulate daily life. In addition, Lamoureux et al.’s [16] twenty-four week study showed that the elderly’s obstacle avoidance ability improved significantly by resistance exercises, including flexion and extension movements of the hip, knee and ankle joints.

This study divided the causes of falls into “tripping” and “reasons other than tripping”, and examined the characteristics of the fallers using the step movement. The step movement was simple because participants stepped forward over a 10 cm high obstacle on one leg and returned it to the original position. It was found that the fallers by tripping take longer than the non-fallers and the fallers for reasons other than tripping. The OSFS test was made up by considering the following four points: 1) when almost falling, the elderly step forward one step to keep their base of support (BOS) and to prevent the fall; 2) a decrease in leg strength and balance ability as well as the range of motion (ROM) of the hip, knee and ankle joints is connected with factors related to the fall; 3) the elderly fall frequently by tripping over an obstacle or a step; and 4) the screening test should use the fallers by tripping take longer than the non-fallers and “reasons other than tripping”, and examined the success rate of stepping over an obstacle increased during among the elderly, Demura, S., Sato, S. and Sugiura, H. (2010) Lower limb laterality characteristics based on the relationship between activities and individual laterality. Gazzetta Medica Italiana, 169, 181-191.
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